

PROCEEDINGS
OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Columbia County, Wisconsin

Portage, Wisconsin
January 18, 2006
9:45 A.M.

The Board of Supervisors of Columbia County convened in annual session at the Courthouse in Portage pursuant to law. Chair Susan Martin called the meeting to order.

All Supervisors were present, except Supervisors DeYoung, Jenkins and Westby, absent and Nelson arrived late.

Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

A motion to approve the Journal of December 21, 2005, was made by Supervisor Pufahl, second by Supervisor Boockmeier. Motion carried unanimously.

A motion to approve the agenda, as published, was made by Supervisor V. Curtis, second by Supervisor Robson. Motion carried unanimously.

Chair Martin commended: Nancy Elsing, Director of Columbia County Economic Development was a recipient of the Wisconsin Innovative Championship Award for her leadership role with the Sauk and Columbia Inventors Club; Mary Saunders, Child Support Administrator received a 2005 Certificate of Outstanding Achievement on behalf of the Child Support Department; and the County Treasurer staff and Land Information staff were commended for assisting the Town of Arlington in processing tax bills.

The County received a letter from the Wisconsin County Mutual Insurance Corporation indicating the County would be receiving a dividend check in the amount of \$28,662 as a result of the County's active participation and management of claims.

Chair Martin noted that the Finance Committee had established the 2007 budget preparation schedule. Budget worksheets are due on or before August 31, 2006 (online schedule July 3). No County Board will be held in August. The Chair suggested committee meetings be scheduled later in August, allowing adequate preparation time for the departments. Committee approval is required prior to budgets being submitted. Also, capital outlay requests by late spring early summer and as always personnel requests should be submitted to Human Resources no later than July 1, 2006.

Harlan Baumgartner, Vice Chair, indicated forms have been distributed to supervisors regarding committee assignment requests. He asked that in preparation for the upcoming term, supervisors be diligent in reviewing the information requested and complete and return the form by the end of January. Anyone with questions and/or concerns should contact him.

Alan Treinen was appointed to the Zoning Board of Adjustments for a term to expire June 2007. On motion by Supervisor Baumgartner, second by Supervisor Richmond, the appointment was unanimously approved.

Supervisor Tramburg reported the "2004 Audit" with Clifton Gunderson LLP. Columbia County received an "unqualified" opinion, indicating there were no questions/concerns with the County's finances. He explained the necessity for internal controls, financial reporting of grants at the Federal and State level, compliance with applicable laws, and preparation of statements by the County for the auditors. In addition to financial report testing, auditors also test and analyze the County's hiring and payroll processes. Copies of the audit report are available in the Comptroller's Office.

Kurt Calkins, Land and Water Conservation Director, gave a presentation on the Columbia County Land and Water Resource Management five (5) year plan. He explained the State of Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protections (DATCP) require this process. The Land and Water Conservation Department recruited members from the citizenry of Columbia County to participate in this process. This Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC) was essential in the development of this plan and was comprised of fifty-one members. The plan, when completed, identified long/short range goals for resource protection and enhancement. The plan went through a number of "drafts" eventually being submitted to the advisory committee for consideration. A public hearing was held in Columbia County on October 4, 2005 complying with plan guidelines. From suggestions/recommendations made at the public hearing, citizen advisory meetings and and DATCP, changes/recommendations were incorporated into the plan.

The Columbia County Land and Water Conservation Committee involvement in the plan was on going and in the fall of 2005 approval was received. The plan was then presented to the State Land and Water Conservation Board. Approval was received in December 2005. The final step in this process was to submit the plan for approval by the entire Columbia County Board of Supervisors. Director Calkins entertained questions from the supervisors.

Chair Martin commended Director Calkins and the Land and Water Conservation Department for going above and beyond the call of duty by involving citizens in the development of this plan.

On motion by Supervisor Hamele, second by Supervisor Lloyd, to approve the Columbia County Land and Water Resource Management Plan was unanimously accepted.

Supervisor Richmond asked that a "thank you" be sent to members of the Citizen Advisory Committee for recognition of their participation in the program.

Kurt Calkins noted that Paul Fisk, Mayor City of Lodi, was in attendance today and personally thanked him for his participation in the plan.

RESOLUTION NO. 1-06

WHEREAS, more than half the farmers in Columbia County are dairy or livestock farmers; and,

WHEREAS, rotational grazing is an economically and environmentally sound means of producing forage for cattle and other livestock; and,

WHEREAS, the UW Extension office is coordinating with the Columbia County Land and Water Conservation Department and the Natural Resources Conservation Service to provide education, cost-sharing and technical assistance to establish managed grazing systems on Columbia County farms; and,

WHEREAS, the Columbia County UW-Extension Office applied for and has been awarded a \$10,050 Grazing Lands Conservation Initiative Education grant.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Columbia County Board of Supervisors authorizes acceptance of a grant by the UW-Extension Office in the amount of \$10,050 from the GLCI for the purpose of providing pasture management education programs.

Fiscal Note: Establish Grant Accounts in the amount of \$10,050 for accounting purposes.

Fiscal Impact: None.

Donald P. Nelson
John G. Stevenson
Claire R. Robson
Robert J. Stoltenberg
Robert L. Hamele
AGRICULTURE AND EXTENSION
EDUCATION COMMITTEE

Motion was made to adopt the Resolution by Supervisor Hamele, second by Supervisor Stoltenberg.

Supervisor Hamele stated Columbia County has been receiving funds since 1999.

Laura Paine, UW Crops and Soils agent, explained funds from the Federal Natural Resources Conservation Service are distributed at the state level.

The Resolution was unanimously adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 2-06

WHEREAS, Wisconsin has lost over 98% of its original prairie habitat; and,

WHEREAS, prairies were once grazed by native ruminants and can potentially be utilized as pasture for domestic livestock; and,

WHEREAS, incorporation of native grasses into grazing systems can contribute to the restoration of native habitats and improving the environment while providing income for farmers; and,

WHEREAS, the Columbia County UW-Extension Office applied for and has been awarded a \$45,764 Grazing Lands Conservation Initiative Research grant.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Columbia County Board of Supervisors authorizes acceptance of a grant by the UW-Extension Office in the amount of \$45,764 from the GLCI for the purpose of conducting research on utilizing native plant species as forage crops.

Fiscal Note: Establish Grant Accounts in the amount of \$45,764 for accounting purposes.

Fiscal Impact: None.

Donald P. Nelson
John G. Stevenson
Claire R. Robson
Robert J. Stoltenberg
Robert L. Hamele
AGRICULTURE AND EXTENSION
EDUCATION COMMITTEE

Motion was made to adopt the Resolution by Supervisor Pufahl, second by Supervisor O'Neil.

Laura Paine, UW Extension Crops and Soils Agent, indicated the research project would be three years with funds being disbursed over that time period. Feasibility of utilizing native grass in pasture systems and recommendations of what works best and how to manage it will be determined at the end of that time.

Agent Paine explained the grant could be used for any grazing animal, however, with the main focus being cattle. The hope is to provide alternative forage crops for livestock and dairy farms.

Supervisor J. Curtis questioned the administration of these projects. Agent Paine stated the research would be under her supervision. However, Agent Paine would be working closely with faculty members of the University of Wisconsin's Agronomy Department in the analysis of the project; it would be a cooperative effort. Supervisor Nelson acknowledged that Paine is widely recognized throughout Wisconsin resulting from her experience in this field.

The Resolution was unanimously adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 3-06

WHEREAS, the Wisconsin County Mutual Insurance Corporation offers to provide Legal Expense coverage for matters for which coverage is not otherwise available under the liability policy.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Columbia County Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize the request for coverage under the legal expense endorsement, per the endorsement form number WCMIC – End 23 (10/04), from the Wisconsin County Mutual Insurance Corporation to be requested as needed by the County Board Chair or otherwise authorized designee.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Columbia County Board of Supervisors designates Joseph Ruf, III, Corporation Counsel/Human Resource Director, and in his absence Attorney Dawn M. Marquardt, Assistant Corporation Counsel, to be the authorized designee(s) for requesting coverage under the legal expense endorsement.

Fiscal Note: None.

Fiscal Impact: None.

Robert J. Westby
Richard Boockmeier
Barry Pufahl
Harlan Baumgartner
Susan Martin
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Motion was made to adopt the Resolution by Supervisor Baumgartner, second by Supervisor Boockmeier.

Attorney Ruf explained this Resolution was requested by the County Mutual Insurance Corporation/Aegis (Counties plan administrator) to establish a designee(s) authorized to seek outside counsel, covered under the legal expense endorsement portion of the County insurance policy. This endorsement provides options for issues that are not handled by in-house counsel. Issues such as conflict of interest or ethical questions could merit outside counsel. This resolution clarifies and designates those authorized: County Board Chair, Corporation Counsel and Assistant Corporation Counsel.

The Resolution was unanimously adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 4-06

WHEREAS, Sections 59.40(4) and 59.52(28) of the Wisconsin Statutes provide that the Clerk of Circuit Court may contract with a collection agency for the collection of unpaid fines and forfeitures if authorized by the County Board; and

WHEREAS, Professional Placement Services, LLC, provides collection services to clerk of circuit courts offices in other counties; and

WHEREAS, the Columbia County Clerk of Circuit Court has recommended that Columbia County enter into a contract with Professional Placement Services, LLC, for collection of unpaid fines and forfeitures.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Columbia County Board of Supervisors authorizes Columbia County Clerk of Circuit Court Susan Raimer to enter into a contract with Professional Placement Services, LLC, the term of which contract shall not exceed two (2) years.

Fiscal Note: None.

Fiscal Impact: Collection of unpaid fines and forfeitures will increase with no additional cost to the County. The collection agency is reimbursed entirely through a percentage of the amount actually collected.

Robert L. Hamele
Richard C. Boockmeier
Tom L. Jenkins, Secretary
Robert J. Andler, Vice Chair
Robert Westby, Chair
JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

Motion was made to adopt the Resolution by Supervisor Boockmeier, second by Supervisor Andler.

Supervisor Lloyd requested an explanation of the selection process. Clerk of Court Raimer's selection process included: listening to collection experiences of other counties, taking into consideration how they rated those experiences with agencies, attending presentations, asking pertinent questions and receiving feedback. From information she gathered, Professional Placement Services, LLC was chosen. Clerk of Court Raimer indicated the State had completed an initial preview of collection agencies on the counties behalf. Nine (9) agencies have been approved by the State; four (4) of those agencies are located in the State of Wisconsin and approved for the period of 2004-07. The local agency interested in collecting outstanding fines for the County had not received State approval when the selection was made. An application process occurs every two (2) to three (3) years in which any collection agency can apply to the State for review to become a certified government collection agency. Chair Martin commented on the importance of going with an agency that has been State approved.

Supervisor Tramburg inquired as to the reporting aspect of collections, ability to track and monitor accounts turned over to a collection agency? Clerk Raimer listed current collection activities in the Clerk of Court Department. Reminder letters are sent, payment plans are established, licenses are suspended, and state tax refunds are intercepted. Clerk Raimer is hopeful that the department will be able to intercept federal tax refunds in the near future. In addition to the above measures, civil judgments are secured on the CCAP system. CCAP is the State computer system provided to the Courts providing case and financial management.

Clerk Raimer did not foresee any change in the current process. She considered a collection agency the last option, a tool for locating individuals and collecting fines. She did clarify the fact that cases will be turned over at her discretion with the agreement that monthly/bimonthly reports are made.

With the passage of Assembly Bill 211 and the inference of a broader area of collection by tax intercept, Supervisor Tramburg questioned how that legislation would be applied to current tax intercept. As stated previously, Clerk Raimer considered a collection agency the last resort and would be utilized only after all other collection attempts had been tried. Cut-off dates for collection vary by case and are at the discretion of the Clerk of Court.

Discussion on fees related to a collection agency was held. Raimer indicated this collection agency would receive a predetermined amount from the collected fine. Any remaining funds are then distributed to the County and State according to State Statutes. The example given was a collection of \$100, the collection agency would retain \$25 as their fee, and the remaining \$75 would be divided 25/75 percent between the County/State.

Judge Miller related discussions held with Judiciary Committee members and his recommendation that the Board approve of this resolution. In his opinion the Clerk of Court Department is doing an outstanding job in its' collection efforts. Collection attempts for fines imposed on individuals not residing in Wisconsin and passing through, were seen as one of the main reasons for turning collections over to an agency.

It was noted that if the County was dissatisfied in any manner with the service provided by the collection agency, it could terminate the contract at any time.

The Resolution was unanimously adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 5-06

WHEREAS, the proliferation in number, size, and manner of off premises signs in Columbia County unreasonably distracts operators of motor vehicles and promotes confusion with traffic lights, signs, or signals and is therefore hazardous to the health and safety of highway and road users in the County; and

WHEREAS, excessive and inadequately regulated off premises signs endanger the uniqueness and scenic beauty of the County, harm the appearance of our Towns, and reduce the property values of neighboring property owners; and

WHEREAS, a phone survey of twenty (20) Town Chairs in the County revealed that they all have concerns about the lack of a local ordinance regulating signs; and

WHEREAS, tourism and trade from both resident and nonresident highway users is an essential part of the economy of Columbia County; and

WHEREAS, well designed and properly located signs offer local businesses the opportunity to promote their goods and services to residents and visitors alike.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Columbia County Board of Supervisors authorizes the establishment of a one (1) year moratorium, from the date of the adoption of this Resolution on the erection and construction of new off premises signs and on the expansion, improvement, or structural maintenance of existing off premises signs on private property within the unincorporated areas of Columbia County; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that for purposes of this moratorium an off premises sign is defined as a name, identification, description, display, illustration, or statuary which is affixed to or represented directly upon a structure or erected on a premises and which directs attention to an object, place, activity, person, institution, organization, or business not located on the same premises where the sign is located. A premises is defined as any piece or parcel of land or a portion of a subdivision, the boundaries of which have been established by some legal instrument of record, that is recognized and intended as a unit for the purpose of transfer of ownership, or is an existing tax parcel; and,

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that the purpose of this moratorium is to allow time for Columbia County to consider the regulation of signs and if necessary to develop a proper ordinance to regulate current and future off premises signs.

Fiscal Note: NONE

Fiscal Impact: NONE

Robert J. Andler, Chair
Vincent D. Curtis, Vice Chair
Andy Ross
Robert L. Hamele
Michael J. Kinney
HIGHWAY COMMITTEE

Harlan Baumgartner, Chair
John H. Healy, Vice Chair
John G. Stevenson
Phillip Baebler
Harlan Horton
PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE

This Resolution was placed on County Board Supervisors desks.

Motion was made to adopt the Resolution by Supervisor Baumgartner, second by Supervisor V. Curtis.

Supervisor Lloyd's concern pertained to language "improvement and structural maintenance" in the sixth (6) paragraph and enforcement.

John Bluemke, Planning and Zoning Director, explained that the Highway and Planning and Zoning departments have been working on inventory of existing signs in the County as well as information from State listings. If the resolution passed, Bluemke indicated, existing signs would be subject to these standards as enforced by the Planning and Zoning Department.

Supervisor Sanderson asked what authority the County had to regulate sign size? State and Federal legislation/laws may govern this. His concern regarding this resolution was the loss of revenue of property owners allowing signage on their property. Attorney Ruf indicated this is a land use regulation, which can be enacted by the County. Issues depending on where the sign is, whether it is located within rights-of-way, are circumstances where interstate and state highways regulations overlap with County regulations. Ruf did not feel it precluded the County from enacting regulations. The purpose of this moratorium is to establish time to develop standards for new signs and existing signs and put these standards into an ordinance for the Board to consider within one year.

Supervisor Lloyd voiced her support of the resolution but was still concerned with the term "structural maintenance" and the ability of the county to enforce if individuals choose not to maintain current signs. By using the State database and other information gathered by Highway and Planning and Zoning Departments, Bluemke indicated letters will be sent along with a copy of the resolution to known sign owners and sign companies. In that letter, contact names and phone numbers will be provided if further information is needed.

Supervisor Nelson supported the resolution but was concerned about signs that would be put up for test plots and seed corn signs on agriculture land. Director Bluemke noted that these would be on premise signs and would not be subject to the moratorium. This moratorium would apply only to off-premise billboard signage. Commissioner Dey indicated state law governs signage in vehicle right-of-ways.

Supervisor Ford believed the county has an ordinance regulating communication towers. He questioned whether or not a loophole existed allowing towers to have signs on them. Bluemke stated if the sign was placed on property where a business is conducted, it was allowable. In the event signs are placed on towers, there would be further discussion between Corporation Counsel, Highway and Zoning.

Motion was made to amend the Resolution by Supervisor Pufahl, second by Supervisor Sanderson, to strike "structural maintenance" in the (6) sixth paragraph. Director Bluemke explained the reasoning for the "structural maintenance" terminology in the resolution was to prevent signs from being replaced where only poles remain.

The motion to amend failed on a voice vote.

Supervisor Sanderson called for a roll call vote.

Supervisor Hamele called for "point of order".

The motion to amend failed on a roll call vote as follows:

AYES: 10; NOES: 17; ABSENT: 3

AYES: O'Neil, Pufahl, Pugh, Richmond, Robson, Salzwedel, Sanderson, Wopat, Boockmeier and Lloyd.

NOES: Olson, Ross, Stevenson, Stoltenberg, Tramburg, Andler, Baebler, Baumgartner, J. Curtis, V. Curtis, Ford, Hamele, Healy, Horton, Hutler, Kinney and Nelson.

ABSENT: Westby, DeYoung and Jenkins.

Supervisor Boockmeier questioned the word "structure" in the seventh (7) paragraph. If this moratorium passed, Supervisor Boockmeier could foresee a truck being driven into a field. Director Bluemke felt that could happen. This resolution did not regulate or prohibit that from happening. If that issue occurred, it would be addressed at that time.

The Resolution was unanimously adopted.

REPORT OF THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE

The Planning and Zoning Committee having held public hearings thereon pursuant to Section 59.69 Wisconsin Statutes, notice thereof have been given as provided by law and being duly advised of the wishes of the people in the areas affected hereby recommend as follows:

- (1) A petition by Marcel and Trecella Kjorlie, Lodi, Wisconsin to rezone from Agricultural to Agricultural No. 2, property located in Sections 13 and 24 on the 29th day of November, 2005 be approved as follows: To change from Agricultural to Agricultural No. 2, a parcel of land described as the W ½ of the SE ¼ of the SE ¼, Section 13, and the NW ½ of the NE ¼ of the NE ¼, Section 24, Town 10N, Range 7E, Town of West Point.
- (2) A petition by Richard Struzynski, Portage, Wisconsin to rezone from Agricultural to Agricultural No. 2, property located in Section 3 on the 30th day of September, 2005 be approved as follows: To change from Agricultural to Agricultural No. 2, a parcel of land described as part of the NE ¼ of the SW ¼, Section 3, Town 11N, Range 8E, Town of Caledonia.
- (3) A petition by William R. Midthun, Rio, Wisconsin to rezone from Agricultural to Rural Residential, property located in Section 13 on the 2nd day of November, 2005 be approved as follows: To change from Agricultural to Rural Residential, a parcel of land described as part of the NW ¼ of the NW ¼, Section 13, Town 11N, Range 10E, Town of Lowville.

Harlan Baumgartner
John Healy
John Stevenson
Harlan Horton
Phil Baebler
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE

Upon hearing no objection, Chair Martin directed the report be accepted and placed on file.

ORDINANCE NO. Z334-06 An Amending Ordinance

The Columbia County Board of Supervisors do ordain as follows: That Title 16 – Chapter 1, entitled "Zoning", of the County Code, as passed by the Board of Supervisors on February 16, 1972 is hereby amended and added thereto as follows:

- (1) "To change from Agricultural to Agricultural No. 2", (Marcel and Trecella Kjorlie) a parcel of land located in Sections 13 and 24, Town of West point, more particularly described as follows: PARCEL NUMBERS 101.A AND 271.A The West half of the Southeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter, and the Easterly 50 feet Of the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter (lying South of the center line of County Trunk Highway J), Section 13, and the West half of the Northeast Quarter of the northeast Quarter and the Easterly 50 feet of the Northwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter, except the Southern 660 feet thereof, Section 24, all in Town 10 North, Range 7 East, Town of West Point. Said property contains 20.00 acres, more or less. This rezoning effective upon the recording of a deed that ensures the property will continue to be described as one parcel about 20 acres in size.

- (2) "To change from Agricultural to Agricultural No. 2", (Richard Struzynski) a parcel of land located in Section 3, Town of Caledonia, more particularly described as follows: PARCEL NUMBER 52 Being a part of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter, Section 3, Town 11 North, Range 8 East, Town of Caledonia, described as follows: Commencing at the East Quarter corner of said Section 3; Thence West along the East – West Quarter line of said Section 3, 2,629.80 feet to the point of beginning, said point also being the Northeast corner of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of said Section 3; Thence South 00 degrees 59 minutes 12 seconds West along the east line of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of said Section 3, 1,317.52 feet; Thence South 89 degrees 53 minutes 20 seconds West along the South line of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of said Section 3, 1,323.37 feet; Thence North 01 degree 06 minutes 03 minutes East along the West line of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of said Section 3, 189.05 feet; Thence North 32 degrees 56 minutes 54 seconds East, 1,347.63 feet to a point in the North line of the Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter; Thence East along said North line, 609.47 feet to the point of beginning; Said property contains 1,341.052 square feet, (30.79 acres), more or less.
- (3) "To change from Agricultural to Rural Residential", (William R. Midthun) a parcel of land located in Section 13, Town of Lowville, more particularly described as follows: PARCEL NUMBER 233 The Northwest ¼ of the Northwest ¼, Section 13, Town of Lowville, more particularly described as follows: A parcel of land located in the Northwest 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4 of Section 13, T11N, R10E, Town of Lowville, Columbia County, Wisconsin, described as follows; Commencing at the Northwest corner of said Section 13; Thence S00°16'20"W along the West line of said Northwest 1/4, 851.79 feet to the point of beginning; Thence S89°57'40"E, 463.50 feet; Thence S00°16'20"W, 470.00 feet to the South line of said Northwest 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4; Thence N89°57'32"W along said South line, 463.50 feet to the Southwest corner of said Northwest 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4; thence N00°16'20"E along the West line of said Northwest 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4, 470.00 feet to the point of beginning. Said parcel contains 5.001 acres and is subject to a public road right of way for Hagen Road over the West 33.00 feet thereof. This rezoning effective upon recording of the Certified Survey Map, and submittal of a copy of the recorded Deed Restriction to the Planning and Zoning Department.

Susan Martin, Chair
COLUMBIA COUNTY
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Jeanne Miller
COLUMBIA COUNTY CLERK

DATE PASSED: January 18, 2006
DATE PUBLISHED: January 25, 2006

First reading of Ordinance.

Motion was made by Supervisor Boockmeier, second by Supervisor Baumgartner to suspend the rules and have the second reading of the Ordinance by title only.

The motion carried unanimously.

Second reading of Ordinance.

Motion by Supervisor V. Curtis, second by Supervisor Ross to suspend the rules and have the third reading of the Ordinance by title only.

Supervisor Lloyd asked that more detail be provided regarding item one (1) and the plan to re-divide and rezone one new parcel to rural residential when the County Board previously approved the petition. John Bluemke explained that rural residential was approved effective upon filing of the certified survey and deed restriction. The property owner had issues at the local level with deed restrictions and asked that Agriculture II be considered. The Town agreed and recommended approval of Agriculture II. The zoning is not effective until the deed is recorded.

Supervisor Nelson expressed concern with the fact that the County continues to approve petitions where agriculture land is taken out of production or deed restricted. He encouraged the Planning and Zoning Committee to recognize this problem and resolve the issue so that buildings will not occur on that parcel in the future. Supervisor Baumgartner indicated this issue has been discussed in committee and will be a topic at the next Towns Association Meeting.

Supervisor Lloyd again reiterated her concern that additional services to these areas would result in increased calls to the Sheriff's Department for emergency services that she correlated to development in rural areas. She proposed zoning regulations that would allow cluster development in rural communities and indicated her opposition to these rezoning petitions.

The motion carried unanimously.

Third reading of Ordinance.

Motion was made by Supervisor Healy, second by Supervisor Stevenson to adopt the Ordinance.

Motion carried, not unanimously. The Ordinance was declared passed and is to be known as Ordinance Z334-06.

Supervisor J. Curtis moved adjournment of this meeting to Wednesday, March 15, 2006, at 9:45 a.m. in the Carl Frederick Administration Building. Seconded by Supervisor Ross. The meeting adjourned at 11:37 a.m. The motion carried unanimously.

T:\CountyBoard\Minutes0106